ORDER SHEET

WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Mrs. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member(J) The Hon'ble Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member(A)

Case No <u>- OA- -92 OF 2019.</u>

Serial No. and Date of order. 1 For the Applicant : Mr. M.N. Roy,
The instant application has been filed challenging the charge sheet dated 23.01.2019 whereby the applicant was charge sheeted on the self-same cause of action for which he was put under suspension and one criminal case was filed against him. Subsequently, in the said criminal case the applicant was acquitted by the order dated 26.04.2013. However, his suspension was confirmed by the authority and being aggrieved with, the applicant has approached this Tribunal in OA 146 of 2014. Subsequently, the Tribunal vide judgement dated 26.07.2018 had quashed and set aside the impugned order dated 16.01.2014 and directed the
For the Applicant : Mr. M.N. Roy, Mr. S. Ghosh, Mr. B. Nandi, Learned Advocates. For the State Respondents: None. The instant application has been filed challenging the charge sheet dated 23.01.2019 whereby the applicant was charge sheeted on the self- same cause of action for which he was put under suspension and one criminal case was filed against him. Subsequently, in the said criminal case the applicant was acquitted by the order dated 26.04.2013. However, his suspension was confirmed by the authority and being aggrieved with, the applicant has approached this Tribunal in OA 146 of 2014. Subsequently, the Tribunal vide judgement dated 26.07.2018 had quashed and set aside the impugned order dated 16.01.2014 and directed the
For the Applicant : Mr. M.N. Roy, Mr. S. Ghosh, Mr. B. Nandi, Learned Advocates. For the State Respondents: None. The instant application has been filed challenging the charge sheet dated 23.01.2019 whereby the applicant was charge sheeted on the self-same cause of action for which he was put under suspension and one criminal case was filed against him. Subsequently, in the said criminal case the applicant was acquitted by the order dated 26.04.2013. However, his suspension was confirmed by the authority and being aggrieved with, the applicant has approached this Tribunal in OA 146 of 2014. Subsequently, the Tribunal vide judgement dated 26.07.2018 had quashed and set aside the impugned order dated 16.01.2014 and directed the
Mr. B. Nandi, Learned Advocates. For the State Respondents: None. The instant application has been filed challenging the charge sheet dated 23.01.2019 whereby the applicant was charge sheeted on the self-same cause of action for which he was put under suspension and one criminal case was filed against him. Subsequently, in the said criminal case the applicant was acquitted by the order dated 26.04.2013. However, his suspension was confirmed by the authority and being aggrieved with, the applicant has approached this Tribunal in OA 146 of 2014. Subsequently, the Tribunal vide judgement dated 26.07.2018 had quashed and set aside the impugned order dated 16.01.2014 and directed the
The instant application has been filed challenging the charge sheet dated 23.01.2019 whereby the applicant was charge sheeted on the self-same cause of action for which he was put under suspension and one criminal case was filed against him. Subsequently, in the said criminal case the applicant was acquitted by the order dated 26.04.2013. However, his suspension was confirmed by the authority and being aggrieved with, the applicant has approached this Tribunal in OA 146 of 2014. Subsequently, the Tribunal vide judgement dated 26.07.2018 had quashed and set aside the impugned order dated 16.01.2014 and directed the
For the State Respondents: None. The instant application has been filed challenging the charge sheet dated 23.01.2019 whereby the applicant was charge sheeted on the self-same cause of action for which he was put under suspension and one criminal case was filed against him. Subsequently, in the said criminal case the applicant was acquitted by the order dated 26.04.2013. However, his suspension was confirmed by the authority and being aggrieved with, the applicant has approached this Tribunal in OA 146 of 2014. Subsequently, the Tribunal vide judgement dated 26.07.2018 had quashed and set aside the impugned order dated 16.01.2014 and directed the
The instant application has been filed challenging the charge sheet dated 23.01.2019 whereby the applicant was charge sheeted on the self-same cause of action for which he was put under suspension and one criminal case was filed against him. Subsequently, in the said criminal case the applicant was acquitted by the order dated 26.04.2013. However, his suspension was confirmed by the authority and being aggrieved with, the applicant has approached this Tribunal in OA 146 of 2014. Subsequently, the Tribunal vide judgement dated 26.07.2018 had quashed and set aside the impugned order dated 16.01.2014 and directed the
The instant application has been filed challenging the charge sheet dated 23.01.2019 whereby the applicant was charge sheeted on the self-same cause of action for which he was put under suspension and one criminal case was filed against him. Subsequently, in the said criminal case the applicant was acquitted by the order dated 26.04.2013. However, his suspension was confirmed by the authority and being aggrieved with, the applicant has approached this Tribunal in OA 146 of 2014. Subsequently, the Tribunal vide judgement dated 26.07.2018 had quashed and set aside the impugned order dated 16.01.2014 and directed the
challenging the charge sheet dated 23.01.2019 whereby the applicant was charge sheeted on the self- same cause of action for which he was put under suspension and one criminal case was filed against him. Subsequently, in the said criminal case the applicant was acquitted by the order dated 26.04.2013. However, his suspension was confirmed by the authority and being aggrieved with, the applicant has approached this Tribunal in OA 146 of 2014. Subsequently, the Tribunal vide judgement dated 26.07.2018 had quashed and set aside the impugned order dated 16.01.2014 and directed the
challenging the charge sheet dated 23.01.2019 whereby the applicant was charge sheeted on the self- same cause of action for which he was put under suspension and one criminal case was filed against him. Subsequently, in the said criminal case the applicant was acquitted by the order dated 26.04.2013. However, his suspension was confirmed by the authority and being aggrieved with, the applicant has approached this Tribunal in OA 146 of 2014. Subsequently, the Tribunal vide judgement dated 26.07.2018 had quashed and set aside the impugned order dated 16.01.2014 and directed the
whereby the applicant was charge sheeted on the self-same cause of action for which he was put under suspension and one criminal case was filed against him. Subsequently, in the said criminal case the applicant was acquitted by the order dated 26.04.2013. However, his suspension was confirmed by the authority and being aggrieved with, the applicant has approached this Tribunal in OA 146 of 2014. Subsequently, the Tribunal vide judgement dated 26.07.2018 had quashed and set aside the impugned order dated 16.01.2014 and directed the
same cause of action for which he was put under suspension and one criminal case was filed against him. Subsequently, in the said criminal case the applicant was acquitted by the order dated 26.04.2013. However, his suspension was confirmed by the authority and being aggrieved with, the applicant has approached this Tribunal in OA 146 of 2014. Subsequently, the Tribunal vide judgement dated 26.07.2018 had quashed and set aside the impugned order dated 16.01.2014 and directed the
suspension and one criminal case was filed against him. Subsequently, in the said criminal case the applicant was acquitted by the order dated 26.04.2013. However, his suspension was confirmed by the authority and being aggrieved with, the applicant has approached this Tribunal in OA 146 of 2014. Subsequently, the Tribunal vide judgement dated 26.07.2018 had quashed and set aside the impugned order dated 16.01.2014 and directed the
him. Subsequently, in the said criminal case the applicant was acquitted by the order dated 26.04.2013. However, his suspension was confirmed by the authority and being aggrieved with, the applicant has approached this Tribunal in OA 146 of 2014. Subsequently, the Tribunal vide judgement dated 26.07.2018 had quashed and set aside the impugned order dated 16.01.2014 and directed the
applicant was acquitted by the order dated 26.04.2013. However, his suspension was confirmed by the authority and being aggrieved with, the applicant has approached this Tribunal in OA 146 of 2014. Subsequently, the Tribunal vide judgement dated 26.07.2018 had quashed and set aside the impugned order dated 16.01.2014 and directed the
26.04.2013. However, his suspension was confirmed by the authority and being aggrieved with, the applicant has approached this Tribunal in OA 146 of 2014. Subsequently, the Tribunal vide judgement dated 26.07.2018 had quashed and set aside the impugned order dated 16.01.2014 and directed the
by the authority and being aggrieved with, the applicant has approached this Tribunal in OA 146 of 2014. Subsequently, the Tribunal vide judgement dated 26.07.2018 had quashed and set aside the impugned order dated 16.01.2014 and directed the
applicant has approached this Tribunal in OA 146 of 2014. Subsequently, the Tribunal vide judgement dated 26.07.2018 had quashed and set aside the impugned order dated 16.01.2014 and directed the
2014. Subsequently, the Tribunal vide judgement dated 26.07.2018 had quashed and set aside the impugned order dated 16.01.2014 and directed the
dated 26.07.2018 had quashed and set aside the impugned order dated 16.01.2014 and directed the
impugned order dated 16.01.2014 and directed the
respondent authorities to release all consequential
respondent dunornies to release an consequential
benefits for the said period.
As per the counsel for the applicant, in the said
judgement, the Tribunal had specifically observed that
though he was put under suspension for involvement
in a criminal case but no disciplinary proceeding was

ORDER SHEET

Raham Ali Khan.

••••

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA- -92 OF 2019.

Case No. OA	2 01 2017.	
Serial No. an	8	Office action with date and dated signature
Date of orde	r. 2	of parties when necessary
_	drawn up against him till the date of pronouncement	3
	of the judgement by this Tribunal. Therefore, the	
	charge sheet is not tenable on the self-same cause of	
	action, as the applicant has already been acquitted	
	from same charges and this Tribunal has also held	
	that the suspension is illegal. Therefore, the counsel	
	for the applicant has prayed for interim protection by	
	way stay of operation of the charge sheet dated	
	23.01.2018.	
	Affidavit of service filed be kept on record.	
	However, none appears for the respondents.	
	Heard the counsel for the applicant and perused	
	the records. As there is prima facie case in favour of	
	the applicant, we direct the respondents to take	
	instruction and make appropriate submission by the	
	next date. Further the respondents are directed	
	to maintain status quo with regard to the	
	operation of the impugned charge sheet till the	
	next date. The applicant is directed to serve notice to	
	the respondents along with copy of the order. Let the	
	matter be fixed on 06.03.2019 .	
	Urgent Plain Copy	
GM	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)	